

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

			aaasi iingion	, D.C. 20231	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/699,387	10/31/00	YOSHINO	_	! !	1272.7623 DI
005514		MM91/0328 ER & SCINTO	¬ L		EXAMINER
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK NY			. [ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2861 DATE MAILED:

03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/699,387

Applicant(s)

Yoshino

Examiner

Michael Nghiem

Group Art Unit 2861



Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. F	s set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 8-12	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)				
	•			
Claim(s)				
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	Orawing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on				
X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	pies of the priority documents have been			
received.				
I received in Application No. (Series Code/Seri				
☐ received in this national stage application from	m the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited, PTO-1440, RTO-1440, RT	Notes Notes			
	per No(s)2			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	10 340			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	V ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The continuation data is not disclosed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2861

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8 and 12/8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagoshi et al. (US 4,965,596).

Nagoshi et al. discloses all the claimed features of the invention including:

- an ink jet apparatus (Fig. 1) for printing on a printing medium with ink, and treatment liquid (ink) having a function of setting ink (function of ink), said apparatus comprising:
 - an ink ejection port (ink ejection port of 20BK) for ejecting ink;
- a treatment liquid ejection port (ejection port of 20C) for ejecting liquid;
 - a waste liquid accommodating substance (125BK-2) for accommodating waste liquid;
- a first introducing means (100, 110) for introducing waste ink discharged by recovery operation from said ink ejection port into a first portion of said waste liquid accommodating substance (Fig. 1); and
- a second introducing means (100, 112) for introducing waste treatment liquid discharged by recovery operation from said treatment liquid ejection port into a second portion (125C-2) separated from said first portion (Fig. 1) of said waste liquid accommodating substance,

Art Unit: 2861

- an ink jet head (20BK) which includes, as an energy generating element, an

electrothermal transducer for generating thermal energy so as to allow a phenomenon of film

boiling to appear in ink (column 4, lines 10-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11 and 12/(9-11) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Nagoshi et al..

Nagoshi et al. does not disclose the following claimed features:

- said waste liquid accommodating substance is formed in a U-shaped configuration,

Art Unit: 2861

- said treatment liquid contains a cationic material composed of a low molecular weight ingredient

and a high molecular weight ingredient, and said ink contains an anionic dye or at least an anionic

compound and a pigment.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify the shape of (125BK-2) of Nagoshi et al., since a modification

in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose,

105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Furthermore, it is known to provide an ink (a water solution) containing cationic and anionic

elements, and pigments. Cationic and anionic elements are contained in water (solution). MPEP

2144.03.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Iwagami et al. (US 5,237,341) discloses a waste liquid accommodating substance 36)

for receiving ink and maintenance solution waste (Abstract, lines 11-13). Sugimoto et al. (US

6,155,666) discloses waste liquid accommodating substances (25a, 25b).

Art Unit: 2861

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703) 306-3445. An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0956.

Michael Nghiem

March 23, 2001